STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

OFFICER SAFETY

AREA \	DIVISION	NUMBER
Oakhurst (456)	Central	10HP.17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres, 17497		07/29/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

YPE OF EVALUATION		SUSPENSE DATE			
	Informal Evaluation				
OLLOW-UP REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
☑ Yes No	ВУ	Lieutenant S. Ada	ıms	10/14/200	09
. COMMAND INVOLVEMEN	esse contract of the second state of the secon	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
A RELIGIO SE ESPECIO SE ESPECIA	(ABATELE MERCHANDE MERCHAND)	Yes	No		
a. Does the command emp incidence of injuries incu	phasize importance of proper enforce urred by officers?	ment ractics to acuieve	tile lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the command	er stress importance of proper enforce	ement tactics, including	g use of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety rec	ord of the command reflect an aware	ness of proper tactics?	2	✓ Yes	□ No
(3) Do the officers' CHF safety?	2 100 and CHP 118s, Performance A	ppraisals, contain com	ments on officer	✓ Yes	□No
	lieutenants knowledgeable of enforce the correct use of safety equipment?		I methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge ap	oplied properly in critiques of incident	s involving officers and	sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and	lieutenants maintain a minimum leve	of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend	officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□ No
(b) If they are not in	nvolved in officer safety, what are the	reasons?			
TRAINING AND CERTIFIC	ATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTE	0
a. Do training records indic	ate formal training has been received	d and certified?	Ti .	Yes	☐ No
(1) Do records reflect a	nnual certification of traffic officers at	nd sergeants for profici		<u></u> ✓ Yes	∐No
(1) Do records reflect a tactics, physical met	nnual certification of traffic officers at thods of arrest, and the proper use o ecorded for:	nd sergeants for profici		✓ Yes	□ No
(1) Do records reflect a tactics, physical met certifications been re	nnual certification of traffic officers at thods of arrest, and the proper use o ecorded for:	nd sergeants for profici			
(1) Do records reflect a tactics, physical met certifications been re	nnual certification of traffic officers and the proper use of ecorded for:	nd sergeants for profici		 Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect a tactics, physical met certifications been re (a) Searching technology (b) Handcuffing.	nnual certification of traffic officers at thods of arrest, and the proper use of ecorded for: niques.	nd sergeants for profici		☑ Yes ☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Do records reflect at tactics, physical met certifications been re (a) Searching technology (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety ed	nnual certification of traffic officers at thods of arrest, and the proper use of ecorded for: niques. quipment.	nd sergeants for profici		☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
(1) Do records reflect at tactics, physical met certifications been records reflect at tactics, physical met certifications been records (a) Searching technology (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety ed (d) Suspect control	nnual certification of traffic officers and the proper use of ecorded for: niques. quipment.	nd sergeants for profici		✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No
(1) Do records reflect a tactics, physical met certifications been re (a) Searching technology (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety ed (d) Suspect control (e) High risk and fe	nnual certification of traffic officers and the proper use of ecorded for: niques. quipment.	nd sergeants for profici		✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

···	.000	(1.10.	3 30, 61, 1303		
	(2)	is ti	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area ploy	supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, what level of force, is justified?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls r	efres	her training required prior to certification?	Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?	☑ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area has a certified OST instructor who en	sures each	uniformed
		emj	ployee receives 8 hours of OST training annually.		
_	(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?	☐Yes	☑ No
		ΓΥΈC	QUIPMENT Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
a.			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captain and below, duty, in uniform?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets when OC spray is utilized to subdue a subject?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)		en an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

<u> </u>	1000	(1.60. 0.60) 6. 1.606				
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	☑ Yes ·	□No		
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No		
b.	Are	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No		
C.	Аге	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No		
d.	Do	Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?				
	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	Yes	☑ No		
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No		
e.	Do a	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	□Yes	□No		
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	Yes	□No		
f.	Are proj	holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray ectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	☑ Yes	□ No		
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□No		
FI	REAF	RMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes Yes	CORRECTED)		
a.	Has	the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	3.5	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	☐ Yes	□No		
b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	☐Yes	☑ No		
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No		
c.	Doe	s the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	☐ No		
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□ No		
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
-	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□No
— е.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	√ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐Yes	□No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	☐ Yes	☑ No
5. P	HYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
а	. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.	Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	☑ Nọ
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐ Yes	☑ No
		•		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

<i>-</i> 1111	1000	(100.000) 01.1000		
c.	We	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Ar	e all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
EN	IFO	RCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each the five options of an enforcement stop?	✓ Yes	□No
b.		officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when prehending suspected or known criminals?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	☐Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	☐Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	☐Yes	□ No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	☐ Yes	□No
C.		here evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage actions?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents?	✓ Yes	□No
PU	RSI	JITS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO No	CORRECTE)
a.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No
		Number of units?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	✓ Yes	□No
b.		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied agencies during suits?	∏Yes	✓ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?	☐Yes	✓ No	
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific ne	eds of the command?	☐Yes	☑ No
8. F	ORCI	CORRECTED			
a.	Are	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?	A	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?		. ✓ Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted?	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□ No
9. R	DADI	CORRECTED			
a.		the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblone hollow spike strip?	ocks and deployment	☐Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?		☐ Yes	☑ No
10. F	ADIO	CORRECTED			
a.	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Can	officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□ No
C.	Can	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No
-	-				

- 3(d) and 3(d)(1) Officers carry the ASP.
- 2(d) The Area has one OST instructor.
- 4(b) Numerous officers are behind in range shoots.
- 4(h) The Area does not have an ammunition officer who provides oversight and accountability to the armory inventory.
- 4(e)(1)(b) Shotgun inspections are behind.
- 4(e)(2)(b) Rifle inspections are behind.
- 4(j)(1) Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.
- 5(b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

COMM		*		-
EXCE	PT	IC	NS DOCUMENT	
_		_		

Page	1	of	4
------	---	----	---

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter: 17
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17	497	Date: 7/29/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, cor	Inspection	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the ument innovative	or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter next level of command where the document practices, suggestions for statewide be used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended inspection: 3.5	on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No		rd to: DIVISION Pate: 10/21/09	St. A	Jinden Adams
Chapter Inspection: 17 Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	;	
			8	ren s
			5	
	4			
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 4

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17497		Date: 7/29/2009	

Inspector's Findings:	The state of the s
The Area's range officer is responsible for inputti	ng shooting records, inventorying the ammunition,
ordering ammunition, and receiving ammunition	Policy requires there he a designated ammunition

ordering ammunition, and receiving ammunition. Policy requires there I officer to provide accountability and oversight for the ammunition.

The Area's training records indicate 4 of 17 officers are three months behind in range shoots, and 9 of 17 officers are four months behind in range shoots.

The Area's records indicate they are behind on their shotgun and rifle quarterly inspection/cleaning.

The Area has one OST instructor. Based on the Area's staffing, two OST instructors would be beneficial.

	THE STATE OF THE S
Commandar's Rasnonsa	☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
Commander a Meaponae.	Concar of Do Not Coned (So not Coned cital Contains

Finding 1 - Concur: Area recognizes the need for separation of ammunition accounting duties. Effective immediately, a second officer, other than the weapons officer shall order, receive, rotate, retrieve, and record all movements of ammunition. In the absence of the second officer, the Training Sergeant shall be responsible for accomplishing the required task(s).

Finding 2 - Concur: Area weapons officer shall provide the CHP 416 to the Area commander following range training to report who has completed training and who failed to attend when scheduled. This procedure should avoid anyone being more than one month behind in weapons training.

Finding 3 – Concur: The Training Sergeant will be responsible for keeping a suspense system indicating quarterly inspections or cleaning is required for shotgun and rifle maintenance. The Training Sergeant will then schedule a day or more for the maintenance to be accomplished. The weapons officer will be responsible for maintaining the required documentation of the weapons maintenance (CHP 311 via ETRS).

Finding 4 - Concur: The Area had scheduled an officer to attend the 80-hour PMA OST training course in October, 2009. Due to an off-duty injury, the training could not be accomplished. The Area still intends a second officer be trained to provide certification and remedial instruction if necessary.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17	497	Date: 7/29/2009	

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised	l, findings unchanged,
etc.)		

Required Action

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 4

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174		Date: 7/29/2009

Chapter:

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Sandraldamo, Co	10/14/09
(Ose Till W. S. I, Oliapter o loi appear procedures.)	MSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 129/09
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	G Gods	12/7/09

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
Merced 460	Central 401	Ch. 17	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
Vaccarezza 1728	5	09/16/2009	-

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUAT		- I F L K	SUSPENSE DATE			
Formal Ev		nal Evaluation 	COMMANDER'S REVIEW?	A. 1	DATE	
Yes	☑ No	☐ Correction Report	May S- BA	onto.	11/24	1/09
1. COMMAN	D INVOLVEMENT		Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	ā
a. Does t incider	he command emphasiz nce of injuries incurred	ze importance of proper enforceme by officers?	ent tactics to achieve the l	owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do	oes the commander str	ess importance of proper enforcem	nent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Do	oes the safety record o	f the command reflect an awarenes	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□ No
	the officers' CHP 100 fety?	and CHP 118s, Performance Appr	raisals, contain comments	s on officer	Yes	☑ No
b. Are the proper	commander and lieut use of force; and the c	enants knowledgeable of enforcem correct use of safety equipment?	nent tactics, physical meth	ods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is	this knowledge applied	I properly in critiques of incidents in	nvolving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do	the captain and lieute	nants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a)	Do they attend office	er safety training sessions?	E		✓ Yes	□No
(b)	If they are not involv	ed in officer safety, what are the re	asons?	1(6)		
		9				
2. TRAINING	AND CERTIFICATIO	N	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Do trai	ning records indicate fo	ormal training has been received a	nd certified?		✓ Yes	□No
tac	records reflect annua ctics, physical methods rtifications been record	I certification of traffic officers and so arrest; and the proper use of saled for:	sergeants for proficiency afety equipment (use of fo	in enforcement rce)? Have		
(a)	Searching technique	25.			✓ Yes	□ No
(b)	Handcuffing.	3			✓ Yes	□No
(c)	Use of safety equipn	nent.	12		✓ Yes	□No
(d)	Suspect control.	9.			√ Yes	□No
(e)	High risk and felony	stops.			✓ Yes	□ No
(f)	Hostage control.				✓ Yes	□ No
(g)	Prisoner transportati	on.			✓ Yes	□No
(h)	Radio control head o	pperation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

יחרי	4000	fizer	0-00) OF 1 000		
-	(2)	ls t	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants?	☑ Yes	□ No
b.	Do em	Area	supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are	use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, what level of force, is justified?	✓ Yes	□No
0		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made?	✓ Yes	□No
LT.		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	ís r	efres	her training required prior to certification?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	☐Yes	✓ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es th	e command have an adequate number of instructors?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls ir	structor proficiency maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?	Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wh	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given? Good, Area has an effective OST program.		
	-				
	(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?	✓ Yes	□No
SA	FET	YE	QUIPMENT EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captain and below, duty, in uniform?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is C	C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets when OC spray is utilized to subdue a subject?	☐Yes	□No
	(2)	Whe	on an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?	Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

71.11	4000 (1.01.0 30) 01 1 030		
	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?		□No
	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
е.	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	Yes	□ No
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐Yes	□No
	(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	Yes	□ No
f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	☐ No
F	REARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	CORRECTE)
a.	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
b.	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
_	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□ No
	× .		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	1000 (1.00) 0.000		
d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
—— е.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?		□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?		□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	√ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	by lesting the accuracy of the following recorded information.		
g.	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP	4535	(Rev. 6-00) OF1 009		
	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	☑ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h.	ls t	here a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not blved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?		□No
-	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	√ Yes	□No
i.	If Ar	ea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		✓ Yes	□No
5. P	HYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	CORRECTED)
a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	Yes	□ No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?		☐ No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	☐ No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.	Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	□Yes	✓ No
	(4)	Blocks.	✓ Yes	✓ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	☑ No
-:-	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	. ,			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

HP.	4535 (r	Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
C.	Were	e observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
		Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is uncooperative?	s standing, kneeling, p	rone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental	policy on handcuffing?		✓ Yes	□No
d.	Are a	all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensiv	e weapons?		✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewe	ed?		☐Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thoro	ugh searches been ob	served?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	opposite sex as outline	ed in policy?		□No
. EI	NFOR	CEMENT TACTICS	Yes Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Do s	ergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures e five options of an enforcement stop?	which should be follow	ved during each		□No
b.	Do o	officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety due thending suspected or known criminals?	uring enforcement stop	s and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) \	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard pres	h show the officers' ab sented?	ility to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
	((a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	11.00		☐ Yes	□No
	((b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐Yes	□No
	((c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			Yes	□ No
C.		ere evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied age tions?	encies to prepare beat	officers for hostage	∐Yes	☑ No
	(1) [Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the having jurisdiction?	the incident until reliev	ed by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at al	Il times?		√ Yes	□No
	(3) A	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain poegress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rende	otential witnesses, con er necessary medical a	trol ingress and aid?		□No
		Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determinostage incidents?	ne their knowledge of	the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
Ρl	JRSUI	TS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE	D
a.	Are a	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the co			✓ Yes	□No
		Number of units?	7.		✓ Yes	□No
		When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□ No
14	(3) V	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits consisted in policy?	omply with enforceme	nt guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective action	ons taken?		☐ Yes	□No
	Li					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 4	453S	(Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
		Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	✓ No
-	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	I to the specific ne	eds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. F0	ORCI	BLE STOPS	Yes Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcit	ole stops?			□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
-	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance wit	h policy?			□No
-		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has c conducted?	orrective action be	een taken or training	Yes	□No
9. R	OAD	BLOCKS	Yes Yes	No No	CORRECTED	
a.	Has of t	s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for es he hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?				□No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	ds of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
10. F	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	•
a.	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?		7.1	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Car	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hor	me Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□Nọ
C.	·Car	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from th	e radio head?		✓ Yes	□No
were	listed	ficer's 100 forms had supervisors comments that were titled d. Occupational safety comments should be separate from do OC is carried by uniformed personnel, there were no use of	epartmental goals	on 100 forms.		
Section	on 5.	Due to time constraints and a lack of equipment Section 5b	1-9 were not obse	rved. Items were discusse	d with particip	ating Officers
Section	on 6.	Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constrain	ts. Topics were d	iscussed with participating	g Officers.	

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Merced-460	Central-401	17
Inspected by: Vaccarezza		Date: 09/17/2009

Page 1 of 2			
number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspecti docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fi on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the ne ent shall be utilized to document innovative pr action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	actices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended on the inspection: 4	☑ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa Division		
Chapter Inspection Inspector's Comments Regard	ding I	nnovative Practices:	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:	
Inspector's Findings:			
Area has good training poor CHP 100 forms should re	rogran elate to	n and records. Sergeant's comme o officer safety and occupational s	ents regarding officer safety on affety not the department's goals.
Commander's Response:	Conc	ur or 🗌 Do Not Concur (Do Not Con	cur shall document basis for response)
departmental goals and not of aware of the need to utilize thi	ficer s s head	cupational Safety Tip" heading on afety and/or occupational safety. ding to stress officer safety and occupation in the general comments so	Area supervisors have been made cupational safety tips and
Inspector's Comments: Shall etc.)	addres	s non concurrence by commander (e.g.,	findings revised, findings unchanged,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Division: Chapter:

Merced-460 Central-401 17

Inspected by: Date: 09/17/2009

Page 2 of 2

1		
Required Action	A 1975 1975 1975 1976 1976	Control of the second s
Corrective Action Plan/Time	ine	

Area supervisors were made aware of the deficiency and the need to utilize the "Officer Safety" heading to stress officer safety and occupational safety tips and to comment on departmental and Area goals in the general comments section. The Area training supervisor will periodically review CHP 100 forms to ensure this practice is maintained.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURES 22	10/26/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/26/09
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	12/2/09

STATE OF, CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

A DEA MANIA CEMENIT EVALUATION

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Los Banos 461	Central 401	CH. 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Vaccarezza		09/21/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

form can be complete	ed in pen of pencil, and the Suppleme	the Can be handwritten	ii desired.		
TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation	☐ Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	SA	DATE 101	14/09
1. COMMAND INVOLV	VEMENT	EVALUATED VES	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
	and emphasize importance of proper enfor ries incurred by officers?	rcement tactics to achiev	e the lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the co	mmander stress importance of proper enf	orcement tactics, includir	ng use of force?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Does the sa	fety record of the command reflect an awa	areness of proper tactics	? *	✓ Yes	□ No
(3) Do the office safety?	ers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance	e Appraisals, contain con	nments on officer	✓ Yes	□ No
	der and lieutenants knowledgeable of enfoce, and the correct use of safety equipme		al methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this know	ledge applied properly in critiques of incide	ents involving officers and	d sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the capta	ain and lieutenants maintain a minimum le	vel of enforcement skills	?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they	attend officer safety training sessions?	*		✓ Yes	□ No
(b) If they a	are not involved in officer safety, what are	the reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CE	RTIFICATION	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Do training reco	rds indicate formal training has been recei		*	✓ Yes	□ No
tactics, phys	reflect annual certification of traffic officers sical methods of arrest, and the proper use s been recorded for:	and sergeants for profice of safety equipment (us	iency in enforcement e of force)? Have		
(a) Search	ing techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcu	iffing.			✓ Yes	□Ño
(c) Use of	safety equipment.		44.41	✓ Yes	□ No
(d) Suspec	et control.	§		✓ Yes	□ No
(e) High ris	sk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage	e control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisone	er transportation.	700		✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio	control head operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

	(2)	Is the command dedicating enough time toward training?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and loy general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□ No ·
¥-0110-C	(1)	Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, and what level of force, is justified?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made?	√ Yes	□No
		(b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available?	☑ Yes	□No
c.	ls re	fresher training required prior to certification?	Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	☐Yes	☑ No
		(b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories?	☐Yes	□No
d.	Doe	s the command have an adequate number of instructors?	Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Is instructor proficiency maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has an individual been given responsibility for the program?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(4)	What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? Area is having eight hour OST training days. T	he Area n	eeds to send
		more officers to OST instructor school to reach the one to eight instructor to student ratio. The Area needs	gloves and	l bags to
		practice personal weapons strikes with.		
	(5)	Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?	✓ Yes	□ No
3. S/	AFET	Y EQUIPMENT EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED YES NO	CORRECTED)
a.		e on duty, in uniform?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets when OC spray is utilized to subdue a subject?	Yes	□No
	(2)	When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?	Yes	□No
		70 11.		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	√ Yeş	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Are	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	☐Yes	□No
f.	Are	holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray ectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	Yes	□No
4. FI	REA	RMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	CORRECTED	
a.	Ha	the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	√ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	√ Yes	□No
c.	Do	es the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?	, ✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHE .	333 (Nev. 0-00) OF 1009		
d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
е.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	√ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□ No
	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(4)	(4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?				
-		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	☐ No		
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□ No		
h.	ls t	here a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No		
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers?				
		AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON				
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐Yes	☐ No		
j.	Are	✓ Yes	□No			
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No		
5. P	HYS	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes No	CORRECTED			
a	. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No		
-	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No		
b	. We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:				
	(1)	Control holds.	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(2)	Punches.	☐Yes	☑ No		
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(4)	Blocks.	☐ Yes	✓ No		
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	✓ No		
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐Yes	☑ No		
	(6)		☐ Yes	☑ No ☑ No		
		Defenses against weapons.	114			
	(7)	Defenses against weapons. Ground defense and takedowns.	Yes	☑ No		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

JHP 4	53S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 003	9		
C.	Were observations o	f practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers suc uncooperative?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Are all uniformed	d personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Are all persons subje	ected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the local jail	I's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(2) Has a practical of	demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Do all officers kr	now guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy	? Yes	□ No
6, EI	FORCEMENT TACT	ICS EVALUATED ACTION RE NO	QUIRED CORRECTE	D
a.	Do sergeants and of of the five options of	ficers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during an enforcement stop?	each ☑ Yes	□No
b.	Do officers have a co	onstant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and whe octed or known criminals?	en ☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Were demonstra	ely control	☑ No	
	(a) Is the violate	☐ Yes	□No	
	(b) Is the violate	or completely controlled?	Yes	□No
	(c) Is the prisor	ner properly prepared for transportation?	☐Yes	☐ No
C.	Is there evidence of situations?	hostage ✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Do officers unde having jurisdiction	erstand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the a	authority ✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Are officers awa	are of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are officers known egress to the so	wledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress ene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	and ☑ Yes	□No
	(4) Were various of hostage incident	ficers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP rots?	ole in √ Yes	☐ No
7. Pl	IRSUITS	Yes No	QUIRED CORRECTE	D
a.	Are all uniformed pe	rsonnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Number of units	?	☑ Yes	□No
	(2) When to discont	tinue?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Were pursuit cri listed in policy?	tiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guideline	es ✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Where none	compliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	Yes	□No
-	b. Does the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied agencies during pursuits?			

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

3711 4000 (101: 0 00) 07 1 000				
(1) Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?		☐ Yes	☑ No	
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailo	eds of the command?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
B. FORCIBLE STOPS	evaluated Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	D
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on fo	rcible stops?		✓ Yes	☐ No
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?	11		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance	with policy?		☐ Yes	☑ No
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, ha conducted?	s corrective action be	een taken or training	☐ Yes	□No
9. ROADBLOCKS Per No ACTION REQUIRED NO				0
Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for of the hollow spike strip?	r establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	?		Yes	✓ No
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper met	hods of establishing r	roadblocks?		☐ No
(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?		3	☐ Yes	✓ No
0. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION	CORRECTED			
a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?	a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their l	b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area/Division?			□No
c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from				☐ No

The area needs to send more qualified officers to OST instructor school.

Section 3. OC us carried by all uniformed personnel but no use of OC was recorded for the time period being evaluated.

Section 5b(1)-(9) Not observed due to time constraints and lack of OST equipment. Topics discussed with participating Officers.

Section 6. No enforcement contacts were observed due to time constraints. Topics were discussed with Officers.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 4

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Los Banos	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date
Vaccarezza 1	7286	09/21/2009:

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspecti	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fi on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the ne- ent shall be utilized to document innovative pr action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	actices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended on the inspection: 4	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☑ Yes ☐ No	Forwa		
Chapter Inspection Inspector's Comments Regar	ding li	nnovative Practices:	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:	
1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Inspector's Findings:			
The area needs 3 additional C	ST ins	structors.	* X
Commander's Response:	Conc	ur or 🔲 Do Not Concur (Do Not Con	cur shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 4

Command: Los Banos	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 17286		Date 09/21/2009:

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence	e by commander (e.g.	, findings revised,	findings unchanged,
etc.)				

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Los Banos	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date
Vaccarezza 1	7286	09/21/2009:

Producted Adjusts	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF
Regulacia Associa	A DOMESTIC CONTROL OF THE SECOND STREET OF THE SECOND STREET SECOND STREET OF THE SECOND STREET SECO
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

The Los Banos will add additional OST Instructors as soon as OST Instructor classes are available.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10/14/09
	INSPECTOR'S IGNATURE	DATE 24 /09
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER SIGNATURE	12/7/08

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 4

Command: Los Banos	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 1	7286	Date 09/21/2009:

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
464	Central	CH.17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Vaccarezza, 17286		09/29/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION SL Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation					SUSPENSE DATE			
	UP REQUI				COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
□ Y€	es 🛭] No		☐ Correction Report BY	(-1.70)	4/	10/1:	1/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRE NO						ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
		e command ce of injuries		ze importance of proper enforcement by officers?	nt tactics to achieve the le	owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do	es the comm	nander str	ess importance of proper enforcem	ent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
((2) Do	es the safety	record o	f the command reflect an awarenes	s of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
		the officers' ety?	CHP 100	and CHP 118s, Performance Appr	aisals, contain comments	s on officer	√Yes	□No
b.	Are the proper (commander use of force,	and lieut	enants knowledgeable of enforcem correct use of safety equipment?	ent tactics, physical meth	ods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is t	his knowled	ge applied	d properly in critiques of incidents in	volving officers and serge	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do	the captain	and lieute	enants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		Yes	□No
	(a)	Do they at	end office	er safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	If they are	not involv	red in officer safety, what are the rea	asons?			
2. TR	AINING	AND CERT	IFICATIO	N	PES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	Do trair	ing records	indicate f	ormal training has been received ar	nd certified?		√ Yes	□No
	tact		l methods	ll certification of traffic officers and s s of arrest, and the proper use of sa ded for:				
	(a)	Searching	technique	es.			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Handcuffin	g.			D 1 5	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Use of safe	ety equipr	nent.			Yes	□No
	(d)	Suspect co	ontrol.				✓ Yes	□No
	(e)	High risk a	nd felony	stops.			✓ Yes	□No
	(f)	Hostage co	ntrol.				✓ Yes	□No
	(g)	Prisoner tr	ansportat	ion.	¥1	x ⁽⁴⁾	' ✓ Yes	□No
	(h)	Radio conf	trol head	operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

J1 11	000	(
	(2)	Is th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area ploy (supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are and	use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, what level of force, is justified?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	ls re	efres	her training required prior to certification?	Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	nstructor proficiency maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Are	ı? ☑ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Wha	ng and instructor	rs are	
		pro	ficient.		
	(5)	Hav	re the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?		□No
s. S/	AFET	YE	QUIPMENT EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	CORRECTED	142-17-
a.			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captain and below, aduty, in uniform?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	□Yes	□No		
	(2) When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?				
			individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the affected area with clear		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?					✓ Yes	□No
-		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?					✓ Yes	□No
-	b.	Аге	re officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?					□No
		(1)	1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?					□No
		(2)	Car	n officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons withi	n one and a half second	ls, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
-		(3)		here personal confirmation by the testing officer that all wated exercises?	✓ Yes	□No		
	c.	Are	offic	cers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Do	offic	✓ Yes	□ No			
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?						✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Car	n officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	aton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
Or Care	e.	Do	all u	niformed personnel wear body armor?	92		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?						☐Yes	☑ No
_			(a)	If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	l examination?		☐ Yes	□ No
	f. Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?					OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?						✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	We	re deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		Yes	□No
4.	4. FIREARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes Yes							
530.00	a. Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?						✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?						✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?						✓ Yes	□No
	b.	b. Are shoots conducted as required by policy?					✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?						✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?						✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?						✓ Yes	□No
	c.	c. Does the Area have a range officer?					✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?						✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?					× ×	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?						✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?						✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?						✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S	(Rev.	6-06	OPI	009

d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
-	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
е.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	☑ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
131	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	☑ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	☑ Yes	□No
-	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition records)?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammuni	✓ Yes	□No		
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETF	RS?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establis	Yes	□No		
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pert date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No		
	h. Is t inv	here a procedure in place which ensures the person processiolved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	cording weapons training	information is not	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	officer and	✓ Yes	□No		
==	i. If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to en	sure weapons training of	RP officers? N/A	4	
			8			
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proced	ures in place?		☐ Yes	□No
	j. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?					□No
-	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted even	✓ Yes	□No		
5.	PHYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	CORRECTED)		
-	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?			√ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	?		Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly famil	iarized themselves with w	veaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	b. We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by office				
	(1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.		Λ	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.		- X	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.		☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

,FIF *	1000	(NEV. 0-00) OF 1 000		
Ç.	We	re observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Are	all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	□Yes	✓ No
	(2)	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
. El	NFO	RCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.	Do of t	sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each he five options of an enforcement stop?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do app	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	Yes	□ No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	Yes	- □ No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	☐Yes	□No
C.		nere evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage ations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No
_	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	√ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents?	✓ Yes	□No
. Р	JRS	Yes EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	·
a.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?	□Yes	☑ No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	Yes	□No
	Do	es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied agencies during		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP	4535	(Rev	6-06)	OPI 00	ງ9
	4000	UIVEV.	0-00)	01 10	20

0111 400	36 (100: 0 00) 61 1 000					
(Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No	
(:	Is Division involved in the planning process?	Yes	☑ No			
(;	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?			✓ Yes	□ No	
8, FOR	CIBLE STOPS	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)	
a. <i>F</i>	Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcib	le stops?		✓ Yes	□ No	
(Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓Yes	□No	
(2	(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?					
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted?					
9. ROA	ADBLOCKS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)	
	Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for es of the hollow spike strip?	tablishing roadblocks	and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No	
(Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			☐ Yes	☑ No	
(:	Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	s of establishing roads	olocks?	✓ Yes	□No	
(;	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐ Yes	☑ No	
10. RA	DIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	,	
a. <i>F</i>	Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No	
b. (Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hom	ne Area to another Are	a/Division?	✓ Yes	□No	
c. C	Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the	radio head?		✓ Yes	□No	
account				ed, to insure	į	
	3. Uniformed personnel carry OC but no OC was used during t					
	5b(1)-(9) Was not evaluated due to time constraints and lack of					
	6. Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constraints	8	ere discussed with parti	cipating Off	icers.	
Section	7(3) Area is a scales facility and had no primary pursuits to eva	aluate.				

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1	OŤ.	3
--------	-----	---

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
CRIF 464	Central	17	
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 17286		Date: 09/29/2009	

number of the inspection in the Chapter	Inspection docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fi on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the new ont shall be utilized to document innovative praction plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	actices, suggestions for statewide			
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:4	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included			
Follow-up Required: ☑ Yes . □ No	Forwa					
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices:						
* * *						
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:				
Command Caggodiano ist Clarente impression						
Inspector's Findings:	*					
The area range/training coordinator is responsible for inputting shoots, inventorying ammunition and receiving ammunition. Policy requires a there be a designated ammunition officer to provide accountability. Quarterly ammunition audits should be placed with the CHP 416s.						
Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)						
Communication of the property						

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
CRIF 464		17
	Central	
nspected by:		Date:
Vaccarezza 17286		09/29/2009

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
CRIF 464	Central	17	
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 1	7286	Date: 09/29/2009	

Required Action
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

Due to the limited number of personnel assigned to the Chowchilla River Inspection Facility, we have one officer who serves as the Facility Coordinator, Public Information Officer, Training Officer, Range Officer and Ammunition Officer. When ammunition is received, the ammunition officer and another member of the command account for the delivery. The ammunition officer is never solely responsible for the accounting of an ammunition delivery. The SOP will reflect the following procedure. For all ammunition deliveries, the ammunition will be received by 1) the on-duty supervisor; 2) If no supervisor is available, the Officer-in-charge will receive the ammunition. In no case shall the ammunition officer receive a shipment of ammunition.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURA	10/14/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 01/29/01
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWERS SIGNATURE	12/7/09

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
Modesto 465	Central 401	CH.1	/
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
Vaccarezza 17286		09/28/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal E		SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REC	Correction Report No BY	COMMANDERS REVIEW	rof DUMEAN	DATE 10:Z	6.09
1. COMMA	ND INVOLVEMENT	EVALUATED YES	NO	CORRECTED	20010111
a. Does incide	the command emphasize importance of proper enforceme ence of injuries incurred by officers?	ent tactics to achieve the l	owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcem	nent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Does the safety record of the command reflect an awarenes	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
` '	Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Apprafety?	raisals, contain comments	on officer	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the	ne commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcemer use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?	nent tactics, physical meth	ods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□ No
(1) Is	s this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents in	nvolving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) [Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□ No
(;	a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(1	b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the re	easons?			
	•			·	
2. TRAININ	G AND CERTIFICATION	EVALUATED YES	NO	CORRECTED	
a. Do tra	aining records indicate formal training has been received a	nd certified?		✓ Yes	□ No
t	Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and actics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of sacertifications been recorded for:	sergeants for proficiency afety equipment (use of fo	in enforcement rce)? Have	11/5	
(a) Searching techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.		90	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.	%/		✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	(2)	Is th	he command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
	S	(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and serge	eants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely and sergeants?	recertification of all c	fficers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do .	Area ploy	a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investiga general observations to determine if proper enforcement tacti	ations, personnel comics are being used in	plaints, and the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	?		✓ Yes	□No
æ	(2)	Are	use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all unif i what level of force, is justified?	formed personnel und	derstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen cobeing made?	omplaints indicate a th	nrough review is	✓ Yés	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficie is made available?	ent and ensure refres	sher training	✓ Yes	□No
C.	ls re	efres	sher training required prior to certification?	160		Yes	☑ No
	(1) Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270?					✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?						☐Yes	☑ No
(b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories?					roficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	d. Does the command have an adequate number of instructors?					✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is instructor proficiency maintained?					✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	s an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficient	cy is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	e there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipn	ment available for offi	cer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wh	nat is the quality and quantity of the training being given? Are	ea has eight hour OST	days scheduled. Area	needs mor	e OST
		ins	structors.				
	(5)	Hav	ve the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper trainin	ng?		✓ Yes	□No
. s	AFET	ГΥΕ	QUIPMENT EVAL	manna.	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.			resin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all unifon duty, in uniform?	rmed personnel, cap	tain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls C	OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations ma is utilized to subdue a subject?	ade on booking sheet	s when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?					☐Yes	□No
		(3) Are individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the affected area with clear water within 30 minutes?					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

2	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□No
b	. A	re o	fficers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1		can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten ne safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) C	can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3		s there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- elated exercises?	✓ Yes	□ No
C	. A	re o	fficers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
d	. D	o of	ficers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) [o officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) C	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
ė	. D	o al	l uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1	☐ Yes	□ No		
	-	☐ Yes	□No		
f.	A	☑ Yes	□No		
	(1	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2	✓ Yes	□No		
4. F	IRE	ARI	Yes ACTION REQUIRED Yes Yes	CORRECTED	2:
а	. Н	las t	he requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) [Oo officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. A	re s	hoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) F	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) /	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?		□No
	(3	3) [Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C	. D	oes	the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) H	las the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) [Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3	B) [s the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	ł) l	s there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-			
d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
e.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	☑ Yes	□No
	(d) is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	√ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	√ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	√ Yes	□No
-	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	√ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	☐ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□ No	
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	.□ No	
h.	ls ti	nere a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(2)	✓ Yes	□No		
i.	If Ar	ea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers?			
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No	
j.	j. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?				
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No	
5. P	HYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	! 	
a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No	
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:			
	(1)	Control holds.	Yes	☑ No	
	(2)	Punches.	☐ Yes	✓ No	
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(4)	Blocks.	Yes	☑ No	
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐Yes	☑ No	
v.	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	Yes	☑ No	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

C.	We	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	N.	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, puncooperative?	orone, or	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing	?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Are	e all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been ob	served?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined	ed in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. EI	NFOF	RCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be follow the five options of an enforcement stop?	ved during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do app	officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stop prehending suspected or known criminals?	os and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	-	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?		☐ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?		☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?		☐ Yes	□No
C.		here evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat pations?	officers for hostage	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until reliev having jurisdiction?	ed by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, con egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical a		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of hostage incidents?	the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
7. Pl	JRSU	UITS EVALUATED Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?	~	☑ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement listed in policy?	nt guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?		☐ Yes	□No
b.		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied suits?	d agencies during	□Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

01.10	4500	/D	0.001	001	222
CHP	453S	rkev.	n-Uni	OPI	UUS

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?		Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of	the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. F0	ORC	BLE STOPS Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?	(45)	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been take conducted?	ken or training	☐Yes	□No
9. R	DAD	BLOCKS Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks at he hollow spike strip?	nd deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadble	ocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?		✓ Yes	□No
10. F	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Cai	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area.	/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Car	n officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

Quarterly ammunition audits should be stored with the CHP 269s.

Training/range officer related that at times only one range officer conducts range training. A minimum of two range officers should run range.

The range officer handles ammunition, receives shipments and records shoots in ETRS, per policy a designated ammunition officer is needed to insure accountability.

The area does not meet the requirement of one OST instructor per 8 officers. The area needs to send additional officers to OST instructor school.

Section 3. Uniformed personnel carry OC however the area did not have a use of OC for the time period evaluated. Section 6. An enforcement stop was not observed due to a lack of available personnel.

Section 5b(1)-(9) Not observed due to time constraints and lack of OST equipment. Topics discussed with participating Officers.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter.	
Modesto-465	Central-401	17	
Inspected by:	Date:		
Vaccarezza	09/28/2009		

Page 1 of 3

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fion number. Under "Forward to:" enter the nexent shall be utilized to document innovative praction plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	actices, suggestions for statewide		
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended on the inspection:4	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required: ☑ Yes ☐ No	Forwa				
Chapter Inspection:		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T			
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices:			
Command Suggestions for S	tatewio	de Improvement:			
I was to the Production			16		
Inspector's Findings:	dite eh	ould be stored with the CHP 269s			
Quarterly ammunition audits should be stored with the CHP 269s. Training/range officer related that at times only one range officer conducts range training. A minimum of two range officers should run range. The range officer handles ammunition, receives shipments and records shoots in ETRS, per policy a designated ammunition officer is needed to insure accountability. The area does not meet the requirement of one OST instructor per 8 officers. The area needs to send additional officers to OST instructor school.					
Commander's Response:	Concu	ur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Conc	ur shall document basis for response)		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command: Modesto-465	Division: Central-401	Chapter:
Inspected by: Vaccarezza		Date: 09/28/2009

	cc.		- 11	*C
Afficer (3 Wright II) 159/9 &	non range officer	has been designated	as the	ammunitions officer
STILLED AND AND STREET OF TAXABLE	i non range omoor,	nac been accignated	40 1110	arring arrivation

Currently, the Area has two AOST instructors. The Area is attempting to schedule additional officers for AOST Instructor School next quarter.

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by	commander (e.g.,	, findings revised,	findings unchanged,
etc.)				

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Modesto-465	Division: Central-401	Chapter:
Inspected by:		Date:
Vaccarezza		09/28/2009

BASES TO SERVICE STATE OF THE	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	
Required Action		
Corrective Action F	Plan/Timeline	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE FOR DUNCANS	10.26.09
· ·	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 9/28/2009
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S STRAZURE	12/7/09

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
480	Central	CHP 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		08/05/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	DATE
✓ Yes [· No	the the Bullow	10.15.09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	YES ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED
 Does the command emphasize importance of proper incidence of injuries incurred by officers? 	enforcement tactics to achieve the lowest possible	☑ Yes ☐ No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of prope	er enforcement tactics, including use of force?	✓ Yes ☐ No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect ar	awareness of proper tactics?	☑ Yes ☐ No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Perform safety?	nance Appraisals, contain comments on officer	☑ Yes ☐ No
Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipments.	of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, ipment?	☑ Yes □ No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of	incidents involving officers and sergeants?	✓ Yes ☐ No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum	um level of enforcement skills?	☑ Yes ☐ No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training session	ns?	☑ Yes ☐ No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what	t are the reasons?	
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been		☑ Yes ☐ No
	ficers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement	
(a) Searching techniques.	46	
(b) Handcuffing.		☑ Yes ☐ No
(c) Use of safety equipment.		☑ Yes ☐ No
(d) Suspect control.		☑ Yes ☐ No
(e) High risk and felony stops.		☑ Yes ☐ No
(f) Hostage control.		☑ Yes □ No
(g) Prisoner transportation.		☑ Yes ☐ No
(h) Radio control head operation.	*	☑ Yes ☐ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(2)	ls t	he command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tir and sergeants?	mely recertification of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.			a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inve general observations to determine if proper enforcement			✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		e use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all d what level of force, is justified?	uniformed personnel un	nderstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	n complaints indicate a t	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pris made available?	oficient and ensure refre	esher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls r	efres	sher training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish ce	ertification indicated on th	he CHP 270?	☑ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?	orough and continuous p	proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	es th	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls i	nstructor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Has	s an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	☐ No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profit	ciency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and eq	uipment available for off	icer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wh	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	The Area has not compl	leted the OST day for 2	2009. The A	Area training
		ÇO	ordinator is attending the instructor course in 8/2009 and	will be completing the (OST days soon after.		
			0				
-	(5)	Ha	ve the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tra	aining?		✓ Yes	□No
3. SA		制體	QUIPMENT	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.			resin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all un duty, in uniform?	iniformed personnel, cap	otain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls C	DC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations is utilized to subdue a subject?	made on booking sheet	ts when OC spray	☑ Yes	□No
	(2)	Wh	nen an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the su spray on the CHP 121?	pervisors noting the use	/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate water within 30 minutes?	ed by flushing the affecte	d area with clear	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?		□No
	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?		□No
b	b. Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?		□No
	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, the safety strap with one hand?	fasten ☑ Yes	□No
	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using or	e hand?	□No
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holsterelated exercises?	er- ☑ Yes	□No
	c. Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□ No
d	d. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?		□No
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	□Yes	☑ No
	(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□ No
e	e. Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where boarmor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	dy □ Yes	□ No
	(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	☐ Yes	□ No
f.	f. Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	 ✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□ No
	FIREARMS Yes ACTION REQU Yes Yes	IRED CORRECTE	D
8	a. Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled wi	th? Yes	□ No
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	☑ Yes	□No
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	☑ Yes	□No
b	b. Are shoots conducted as required by policy?		□No
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	√ Yes	□No
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□ No
C	c. Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	· 🗌 No
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	☑ Yes	□ No
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?		□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐Yes	□No
(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐Yes	□No
e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunitio been determined?	n ☑ Yes	□No
(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inveritory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	
f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of ar accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

=				
	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h.		here a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not obvious with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
ai	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If Ar			
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐Yes	□No
j.	Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?			□No
	(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?			☑ No
5. P	HYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED).
a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	☐Yes	 No
	(2)	Punches.	Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐Yes	✓ No
-	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐Yes	✓ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	Yes	☑ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

HP 4	1035 (h	ev. 6-06) OPI 009			
c.	Were	observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□No	
		can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or ncooperative?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	re all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No	
d.	Are a	Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?		□No	
	(1) I	las the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(2) I	(2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?			
	(3)	o all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No	
E	NFOR	EMENT TACTICS EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED		
a.	Do so	ergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each five options of an enforcement stop?	√ Yes	□No	
b.		ficers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when hending suspected or known criminals?	☑ Yes	□No	
	(1) \ t	Vere demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control ne situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	☐Yes	☑ No	
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	☐ Yes	-□ No	
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	☐ Yes	□No	
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	☐ Yes	□No	
c.		re evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage ions?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
		o officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority aving jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and gress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	☑ Yes	□No	
		Vere various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in ostage incidents?	☑ Yes	□No	
P	JRSUI	Yes ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
a.	Are a	Il uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	lumber of units?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Vhen to discontinue?	√ Yes	□No	
		Vere pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines sted in policy?	✓ Yes	□No	
		- \ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	[7] Von	□No	
	(Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	✓ Yes		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?	☐Yes	☑ No		
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the common common specific needs of the common	nand?	☑ No		
8, F0	8. FORCIBLE STOPS. Post Post Post Post Post Post Post Post					
a.	Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?		□No		
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?	✓ Yes	□ No		
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or train conducted?	ning ☑ Yes	□No		
9. R	DAD	BLOCKS EVALUATED ACTION RE YES NO	QUIRED CORRECTE	,		
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks and deploy the hollow spike strip?	ment Yes	☑ No		
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	☐ Yes	✓ No		
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	☐ Yes	.√ No		
10. F	RADI	IO FAMILIARIZATION EVALUATED Yes No	QUIRED CORRECTE	D		
a.	Are	e officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?		□No		
b.	Ca	✓ Yes	□No			
С.	Са	n officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?		□No		
				-		

³⁽d) and 3(d)(1) - Officers carry the ASP.

⁴⁽e)(1)(b) - Shotgun inspections are behind.

⁴⁽e)(2)(b) - Rifle inspections are behind.

⁴⁽j)(1) - Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.

⁵⁽b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Visalia	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17	497	Date: 8/5/2009	

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.						
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	evel Total hours expended on the inspection: 3.5	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included				
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	Forward to: Due Date:					
Chapter Inspection: 17 Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Innovative Practices:					
	w					
*	380 95					
Command Suggestions for S	atewide Improvement:					

None.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Visalia	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174	97	Date: 8/5/2009	

Page 2 of 3

ago 2 o	
Inspector's Findings:	
The Area is behind on its quarterly shotgun and rifle inspections and its primary firearm "finspections. The training coordinator has been recently changed and the new training coordinated the deficiency in the weapons inspections and has taken steps to remedy the	ordinator has
The Area has a surplus of shotguns. It may be beneficial to the Area to relinquish a portice hotguns in order to alleviate the burden of having to inspect each shotgun on a quarterly	n of their basis.
he Area is inspecting all firearms on an annual basis.	
Commander's Response: ☑ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basi	s for response)
A	

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Visalia	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174	97	Date: 8/5/2009	

:		
Required Action		Marka Water
Corrective Action	Plan/Timeline	

When Area was made aware of the deficiency regarding weapons inspections immediate corrective action was taken. All shotguns and rifles were inspected including "field strip" inspections of primary firearms. The new Area Training Coordinator has developed a suspense system to ensure timely and on-going inspections of all Area firearms.

Area is authorized 30 shotguns and has 31 in its inventory. Area has coordinated with the Weapons Control Unit (WCU) to transfer the excess shotgun from its inventory.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 10- 15-09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 10/29/09
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 12 4 D9

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
481	Central	CHP 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		09/21/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

☑ Form		אס aluation	☐ Infor	mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE	\cap			
FOLLOW-UI	P REQUIF			☐ Correction Report			uugn	10/20	/2009
1. COM	IMANE	O INVOLVEN	IENT		VES		No No	CORRECTED)
		ne command ce of injuries		ze importance of proper en	forcement tactics	to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1	l) Doe	es the comm	ander st	ress importance of proper e	nforcement tactic	s, including use	e of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2	2) Doe	es the safety	record o	of the command reflect an a	wareness of prope	er tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3		the officers' ety?	CHP 100	and CHP 118s, Performar	ice Appraisals, co	ntain commen	ts on officer	✓ Yes	□Nö
b. А	re the	commander use of force,	and lieu and the	tenants knowledgeable of e correct use of safety equipn	nforcement tactice	s, physical met	hods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1	l) Is t	his knowledg	e applie	d properly in critiques of inc	idents involving or	fficers and ser	geants?	Yes	□No
(2	2) Do	the captain a	and lieut	enants maintain a minimum	level of enforcem	ent skills?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do they att	end offic	er safety training sessions?				✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	If they are i	not invol	ved in officer safety, what ar	e the reasons?				
	(b)	If they are	not invol	ved in officer safety, what ar	re the reasons?				,
2. TRAI		If they are		4	e the reasons?	D	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	,
	INING	AND CERT	FICATIO	4	evaluate Y <i>E</i> .s		5000	CORRECTED ✓ Yes	, D No
a. D	INING Do train	AND CERTI	FICATIOn indicate ect annual method	formal training has been rec al certification of traffic office s of arrest, and the proper u	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement		
a. D	INING Do train	AND CERTI	FICATIO indicate ect annual method en recor	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper unded for:	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement		
a. D	INING Do train 1) Do tact	AND CERTING PROOF AND CERTING	FICATION INCIDENTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper unded for:	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement	✓ Yes	□No
a. D	INING Do train Do tacc cer (a)	AND CERTING PROOF AND CERTING	indicate ect annua method en recortechnique	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper uded for:	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement	✓ Yes	□ No
a. D	INING Do train Do tacc cer (a)	AND CERTING PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF SAFE	FICATION Indicate ect annui method en recorrechnique g.	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper uded for:	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
a. D	INING Do train Do taccer (a) (b) (c)	AND CERTINATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	indicate ect annua method en recor technique.	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper uded for: es.	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
a. D	INING Do train Do tact cer (a) (b) (c) (d)	AND CERTION AND CERTION Ining records reflectics, physical tifications be Searching Handcuffin Use of safe Suspect co	indicate ect annual method en recor technique g. ety equipentrol.	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper uded for: es.	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
a. D	INING Do train (1) Do tact cer (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	AND CERTINING records reflectics, physical tifications be Searching Handcuffin Use of safe Suspect countries and High risk and Hostage co	indicate ect annual method en recorrechniques. Style equipulative equ	formal training has been recall certification of traffic offices of arrest, and the proper uded for: es. ment.	EVALUATE YES eived and certifie	d?	in enforcement	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(2)	ls t	he command dedicating enough time toward training?	. T	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all and sergeants?	officers	✓ Yes	□No
t			a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel con general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel und what level of force, is justified?	derstand when,		□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a tibeing made?	nrough review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refres is made available?	sher training	☑ Yes	□No
c	. Is r	refres	ther training required prior to certification?	=	Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the	e CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?		☐Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous p categories?	roficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d	. Do	es th	e command have an adequate number of instructors?		☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls i	nstructor proficiency maintained?		Yes	□No
31	(2)	Has	s an individual been given responsibility for the program?		✓ Yes	□No
	20	(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for office	cer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wh	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given? New OST beginning to b	e implemented with ar	nual OST	certification.
			30 190 "			- Fr - Fr
	(5)	Hav	ve the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?		✓ Yes	□No
3. S	AFE	TYE	OUDMENT	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
а			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, capt a duty, in uniform?	ain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls C	OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets is utilized to subdue a subject?	s when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Wh	en an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/ spray on the CHP 121?	nonuse of OC	☑ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Are	individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the affected water within 30 minutes?	l area with clear	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(a)	Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are offic	cers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ers?		✓ Yes	☐ No
-	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?					□No
	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?				✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises?					□No
C.	. Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?				✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do offic	ers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?		JS	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do	officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	tops?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Cai	n officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	aton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
е.	Do all u	iniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
		ere required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per nor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrun		s where body	☐Yes	□No
	(a)	If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	I examination?		☐Yes	□No
f.	Are hols	sters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hando ors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance	uffs, handcuff case, and appraisal?	OC spray	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do	CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) We	ere deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		☐Yes	□No
4. FI	REARMS		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTED	
a.	Has the	e requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	harge of firearms been o	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do	officers thoroughly understand the policy?			☑ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ing of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are sho	oots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Ha	ve steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are	e weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
-	(3) Do	training records show qualification with all authorized wea	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Does th	ne Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Ha	s the officer completed Academy training for range officer	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do	es the officer supervise all shoots?	*		✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Is t	the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Is t	there a designated alternate to the range officer?	11-31		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

- 0	l. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□ No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□ No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□ No
€	. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
5011.5	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
4:	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	√ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
,	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt	□ Vos	□Na
	acknowledged immediately upon delivery? Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	Yes	□ No
f.		✓ Yes	□ No
	Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g 	. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended		£
	(per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?		□No
	(7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h.	Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?		□No
	(1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? RP of	fficer shoots w	ith Area.
	(1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	□Yes	□No
j.	Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No
5. P	HYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Do officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense	? 🗸 Yes	□ No □
b.	. Were demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
Į.	(1) Control holds.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(2) Punches.	Yes	☑ No
	(3) Strikes.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(4) Blocks.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(5) Defensive kicks.	□Yes	☑ No
	(6) Defenses against grabs.	☐Yes	☑ No
-	(7) Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8) Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(9) Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

We	re observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	is standing, kneelir	ng, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental	policy on handcuff	ing?	✓ Yes	□No
Are	all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offension	ve weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review	ved?		☐Yes	☑ No
(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thoro	ough searches beer	n observed?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	opposite sex as ou	utlined in policy?		□ No
NFO	RCEMENT TACTICS	Yes Yes	No -	CORRECTED	
		s which should be fo	ollowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
		luring enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard pre-	ch show the officers esented?	s' ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐Yes	□No
		encies to prepare b	eat officers for hostage	☐Yes	☑ No
(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	the incident until re	elieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)				✓ Yes	□ No
(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determ hostage incidents?	ine their knowledge	e of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
URSI	uits	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the c	conduct of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of listed in policy?	comply with enforce	ment guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective acti	ions taken?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) (2) Are (1) (2) (3) NFOI Do of t (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) URSI Are (1) (2) (3)	 (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensite. (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review. (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thore. (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the NFORCEMENT TACTICS. Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety of apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed whith the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the present of the level of hazard present in the level	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling unccoperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuff Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been as on the property of the opposite sex as on the property of the opposite sex as on the oppos	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? PORCEMENT TACTICS ValuateD	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? (3) Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (4) Has the local jail's experience with CHP errests been reviewed? (5) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (6) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (7) Yes (8) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? (8) PARLILITED ACTIONS (9) PARLILITED ACTION REQUIRED Property Procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? (9) Pes Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner property prepared for transportation? (a) Is the violator completely controlled? (b) Is the violator completely prepared for transportation? (a) Is the violator of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage structured only insidiction? (b) Is the prisoner property prepared for transportation? (c) Is the prisoner property prepared for transportation? (a) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (b) Is the violator of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage largers to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid? (a) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (b) Ves ACTION REQUIRED (c) Ves ACTION RE

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	((1) Are any written agreements on file?			✓ Yes	□No
	((2) Is Division involved in the planning process?	=		☐Yes	☑ No
	((3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide taile	ored to the specific ne	eeds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. F	OF	RCIBLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE	
a.	. /	Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for	orcible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	((1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	((2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance	with policy?	-	☑ Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, he conducted?	as corrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
9. R	0,	ADBLOCKS	Yes	No	CORRECTED):
a.		Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for of the hollow spike strip?	or establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	((1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined	?		✓ Yes	□No
	((2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper me	thods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	((3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	29.1		☐ Yes	☑ No
10. I	RA	ADIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	1	Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head	?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	(Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their	home Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	(Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from	n the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

³⁽d) and 3(d)(1) - Officers carry the ASP.

⁴⁽e)(1)(b) - Shotgun inspections are behind.

⁴⁽e)(2)(b) - Rifle inspections are behind.

⁵⁽b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM **EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT**

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Porterville	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date:
D. Torres, 17497		9/212009

Page 1 of 2				
INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter Ir shall be routed to and its due date. This cimprovement, identified deficiencies, corre	nspection of the company of the comp	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	actices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level	evel	Total hours expended on the inspection: 3		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	Forwa			
Chapter Inspection: 17 Inspector's Comments Regard	ling li	nnovative Practices	3 :	
Command Suggestions for Sta	atewi	de Improvement:		
None		16		
Inspector's Findings:				
The Area is behind on its rifle a	nd sh	notgun inspections.	e .	
Commander's Response: 🖂 🤇	Conci	ur or 🗌 Do Not Cor	ncur (Do Not Cond	eur shall document básis for response)
by policy. Porterville immediate ensure the inspections will be on the porterville Area in addressing #	ely sta compl	arted the required i eted timely and wit and 7 (b)(1); doe	nspections and hin policy s in fact have w	ritten policy and procedure for
Chiefs Association.	k l			J agreement of the Tulare County
Inspector's Comments: Shall a etc.)	ddres	s non concurrence by	commander (e.g., f	indings revised, findings unchanged,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Porterville	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
D. Torres, 174	97	9/212009	

	•
Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

The Porterville Area realized that the shotgun and rifle inspections were not being completed as required by policy. Porterville immediately started the required inspections and has put into place a system to ensure the inspections will be completed timely and within policy. Porterville Area will have all rifles and shotguns inspected by November 25, 2009, and will complete future inspections within Department Policy guidelines.

Employee would like to discuss this report with COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE,
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	10/20/2009
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/29/01
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	DATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
495	Central	CHP17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		08/18/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	YPE OF EVALUATION ☑ Formal Evaluation ☐ Informal Evaluation		SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQU	UIRED ✓ No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVI	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	10/	1/08
1. COMMAN	ND INVOLVEMENT		EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
	the command emp nce of injuries incu	hasize importance of proper enforce rred by officers?	ement tactics to achie	eve the lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) D	oes the commande	er stress importance of proper enfor	cement tactics, includ	ling use of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) D	oes the safety reco	ord of the command reflect an aware	eness of proper tactic	s?	✓ Yes	□No
, ,	o the officers' CHP afety?	100 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain co	omments on officer	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the proper	e commander and r use of force, and	lieutenants knowledgeable of enfor the correct use of safety equipment	cement tactics, physic?	cal methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) ls	this knowledge ap	plied properly in critiques of incider	nts involving officers a	nd sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) D	o the captain and l	ieutenants maintain a minimum leve	el of enforcement skill	s?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend	officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not in	nvolved in officer safety, what are th	e reasons?			
			300 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00			
2. TRAINING	G AND CERTIFICA	ATION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Do tra	ining records indic	ate formal training has been receive			✓ Yes	□No
ta	o records reflect an actics, physical met artifications been re	nnual certification of traffic officers a hods of arrest, and the proper use o ecorded for:	and sergeants for prof of safety equipment (u	iciency in enforcement use of force)? Have		
(a	a) Searching tech	niques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c	c) Use of safety ed	quipment,			✓ Yes	□No
(d	i) Suspect control				✓ Yes	□No
(e	e) High risk and fe	elony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control				✓ Yes	□No
(g	g) Prisoner transp	ortation.			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

/NF 4	+555	(Nev.	. 6-06) OF 1 009			
	(2)	ls th	he command dedicating enough time toward training?		☑ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are cu	rrent?		□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification and sergeants?	on of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area ploy	a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, perso general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being	nnel complaints, and used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	.0	✓ Yes	□No
0	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed person what level of force, is justified?	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints ind being made?	icate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensuis made available?	re refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls re	efres	sher training required prior to certification?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicate	ted on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?		☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and cont categories?	inuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es th	e command have an adequate number of instructors?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls ir	nstructor proficiency maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	s an individual been given responsibility for the program?		. ✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintain	ned?		□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available	e for officer safety training	?	□No
	(4)	Wh	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area has no	ot completed the OST day	for 2009. The A	Area has the
		os	T training day scheduled for 4th quarter.			100
	(5)	Hav	ve the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?		☐ Yes	✓ No
8. S/	AFET	ΓΥ E	QUIPMENT EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	ž ut
a.			resin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed persor n duty, in uniform?	nel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls C	DC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking is utilized to subdue a subject?	ng sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Wh	nen an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting spray on the CHP 121?	the use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
			individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
,	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
е.	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	☐Yes	□No
f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	□ ✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	☐Yes	□No
4. F	IREARMS Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED):
a.	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	Yes	□No
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	Yes	□No
b.	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?		□No
C.	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	Yes	□No
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
7	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

/ · · ·	505 (101: 5 50) C1 1 555		
d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
е.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	☑ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	√ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	☑ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

Ų. I.		(11011 0 00) 0.1 0 00		
	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
-=: #-		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	√ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h.	Is t	here a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A		
		·		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□ No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No
5. P	HYS	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
b.	We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(2)	Punches.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(4)	Blocks.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐Yes	☑ No
-	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐Yes	☑ No
·	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	Yes	☑ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

C.	. Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	ENFORCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED ACTION REQU Yes No	RED CORRECTE	D
a.	a. Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during ea of the five options of an enforcement stop?	ch ☑ Yes	□No
b.	Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	control	☑ No
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	□Yes	□ No
9	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	□Yes	□No
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	☐Yes	□ No
C.	 Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hosituations? 	ostage ☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the aut having jurisdiction?	nority 📝 Yes	□No
	(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress are egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	nd ☑ Yes	□No
	(4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role hostage incidents?	in ☑ Yes	□No
7. P	PURSUITS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	RED CORRECTE	D
a	a. Are all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Number of units?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(2) When to discontinue?	☑ Yes	□No
	(3) Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	☐Yes	☐ No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were confective detions taken:		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009	CHP 453S	(Rev.	6-06)	OPI	009
------------------------------	----------	-------	-------	-----	-----

		(11111 211)		A		
	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?	×.	-	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	to the specific needs o	f the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. FC	ORC	IBLE STOPS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcib	le stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with	n policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	į	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has co-conducted?	prrective action been tal	ken or training	✓ Yes	□No
9. R	DAD	BLOCKS	Yes Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	9
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for esthe hollow spike strip?	tablishing roadblocks a	nd deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?		*	☐Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	s of establishing roadbl	ocks?	Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	3.		☐ Yes	☑ No
10. F	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	*
a.	Аге	e officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			Yes	□No
b.	Ca	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hom	e Area to another Area	/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Са	n officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the	radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

³⁽d) and 3(d)(1)- Officers carry the ASP.

⁵⁽b) - Not observed due to lack of personnel.

⁶⁽b) - Not observed due to lack of personnel.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

	110113	DOCOMEIAI
Dage 1	of 3	

Command: Coalinga	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17		Date: 8/18/2009

Page 1 of 3

ਤੋਂ

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the n ent shall be utilized to document innovative action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may	next level of command practices, suggestions	where the docui for statewide	ment
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended on the inspection: 3.5	☐ Corrective Ad	ction Plan Inclu	bebu
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:			
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:	11.4.4		
Chapter Inspection: 17 Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices:		TO MORE. THE STATE	
*4			8	*!	
			2:		¥
a .			· ·		
7					
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:			
				g:	

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Coalinga	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17	497	Date: 8/18/2009	

Page 2 of 3

Page 2 01 3					
Inspector's Findings:					
The Area's training program is within department	artmental policy.	The Area will	be holding it	s OST days	in 4 th
quarter of 2009.				•	
* *					
			!		
	121				
		*			
		8			
Commander's Response: Concur or	□ Do Not Concu	r (Do Not Concur	shall documer	nt basis for resp	onse)

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Coalinga	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
D. Torres, 17	497	-8/18/2009	

Required Action	ALEXTON MARKET TO THE TOTAL TO T
DESCRIPTION IN ADDITION OF A SECURITION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10/7/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/29/99
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	12 3 09